IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR LINN COUNTY

DERRICK DIXON, Individually; and CATERIA DIXON, Individually and as Parent and Next Friend of DD,)))
Plaintiffs,	O CASE NO
BASSEL EID, M.D.; CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION; CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION d/b/a CEDAR RAPIDS FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY; EASTERN IOWA HEALTH CENTER; ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL; and ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL d/b/a UNITYPOINT HEALTH CEDAR RAPIDS and/or ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL,))))))))))))))
Defendants.	,

COUNT I (Claims of DD)

COMES NOW Plaintiff Cateria Dixon, as Parent and Next Friend of DD, and for cause of action against Defendants Bassel Eid, M.D.; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation d/b/a Cedar Rapids Family Medical Residency; Eastern Iowa Health Center; St. Luke's Methodist Hospital; and St. Luke's Methodist Hospital d/b/a UnityPoint Health Cedar Rapids and/or St. Luke's Methodist Hospital, states as follows:

- Plaintiffs Cateria Dixon and DD are residents of Phoenix, Arizona.
 Plaintiff Derrick Dixon is a resident of Linn County, Iowa.
- Plaintiffs Derrick Dixon and Cateria Dixon are the father and mother of DD (DOB: 4/10/15).

- 3. Plaintiff Cateria Dixon brings this claim on behalf of her son DD, as his parent and next friend.
- 4. Defendant Bassel Eid, M.D. is a licensed medical doctor and at all times material hereto practiced in Linn County, Iowa.
- 5. Defendant Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Iowa and at all times material hereto operated and did business in Cedar Rapids, Linn County, Iowa.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation operated a residency program and did business as Cedar Rapids Family Medical Residency.
- 7. Defendant Eastern Iowa Health Center is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Iowa and at all times material hereto did business in Cedar Rapids, Linn County, Iowa.
- 8. Defendant St. Luke's Methodist Hospital is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Iowa and at all times material hereto did business in Cedar Rapids, Linn County, Iowa.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant St. Luke's Methodist Hospital did business as UnityPoint Health Cedar Rapids and/or St. Luke's Methodist Hospital. St. Luke's operated through and/or as part of the UnityPoint Health System.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Defendant Bassel Eid, M.D. was an employee and/or agent of Defendant Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation, Defendant Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation d/b/a Cedar

Rapids Family Medical Residency, and/or Defendant Eastern Iowa Health Center and was acting within the scope of his employment or agency with said Defendants.

- 11. At all times material hereto, Defendant Bassel Eid, M.D. was an employee and/or agent of Defendant St. Luke's Methodist Hospital and/or Defendant St. Luke's Methodist Hospital d/b/a UnityPoint Health Cedar Rapids and/or St. Luke's Methodist Hospital.
- 12. Plaintiff Cateria Dixon was admitted to St. Luke's Hospital in Cedar Rapids, Iowa shortly after midnight on April 10, 2015, to give birth to her son DD.
- 13. Defendants, their agents and employees agreed to undertake and provide medical care, treatment, and supervision to Plaintiff Cateria Dixon and for her pregnancy at the time of her admission and throughout the course of her hospitalization at St. Luke's Hospital.
- 14. Plaintiff Cateria Dixon received medical care, treatment, and supervision while at St. Luke's Hospital from the Defendants, their agents and employees on and after April 10, 2015.
- 15. Defendants, their agents and employees were negligent and violated the standard of care owed to Plaintiff Cateria Dixon and DD in their care, treatment and supervision of Plaintiff Cateria Dixon and her pregnancy.
- 16. The negligence and violations of standards of care referred to above include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Failure to properly manage Cateria Dixon's labor;
 - b. Failure to perform a timely cesarean section;

- c. Failure to properly manage Cateria Dixon's and DD's medical treatment once shoulder dystocia was discovered;
- failing to timely call for proper assistance when the shoulder dystocia was discovered.
- 17. As a result of Defendants' negligence and their breach of the standard of care, Plaintiff DD suffered personal injuries and damages attendant thereto including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Brachial plexus injury;
 - b. Erb's palsy;
 - c. Hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy;
 - d. Convulsions and seizures;
 - e. Respiratory distress;
 - f. Torticollis.
- 18. Plaintiffs seek recovery against Defendants herein under all legal theories cognizable under lowa law including, but not limited to:
 - a. Negligence;
 - Breach of the standard of care.
- 19. Plaintiff Cateria Dixon, as Parent and Next Friend of DD, seeks recovery on behalf of DD for all damages cognizable under Iowa law including, but not limited to, damages for the following:
 - Medical expense once DD attains the age of majority;
 - b. Loss of future earning capacity;
 - Past physical and mental pain and suffering;
 - Future physical and mental pain and suffering;

- e. Past loss of mind and body function;
- f. Future loss of mind and body function;
- g. Disfigurement;
- Any other damages to DD cognizable under lowa law.
- 20. The damages suffered by DD are in excess of the jurisdictional requirements of Rule 6.103, Iowa Rules of Appellate Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Cateria Dixon, as Parent and Next Friend of DD, demands judgment against the Defendants for a fair and reasonable amount of compensatory damages sufficient to fairly compensate DD, which damages exceed the jurisdictional requirements of Rule 6.103, Iowa Rules of Appellate Procedure, for interest as provided by law and the costs of this action.

COUNT II (Claims of Cateria Dixon, Individually)

COMES NOW Plaintiff Cateria Dixon, Individually, and for cause of action against Defendants Bassel Eid, M.D.; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation d/b/a Cedar Rapids Family Medical Residency; Eastern Iowa Health Center; St. Luke's Methodist Hospital; and St. Luke's Methodist Hospital d/b/a UnityPoint Health Cedar Rapids and/or St. Luke's Methodist Hospital, states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff Cateria Dixon hereby repleads paragraphs 1, 2, and 4 through 18 of Count I as if fully set forth herein verbatim.
- 2. As a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct as aforesaid, which wrongful conduct was a proximate cause of the aforementioned injuries and damages to DD, Plaintiff Cateria Dixon has in the past and will in the future incur medical and other expenses in the care of her son.

- 3. Additionally, as a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct as aforesaid, which wrongful conduct is a proximate cause of the aforementioned injuries and damages to DD, Plaintiff Cateria Dixon has in the past and will in the future be deprived of the love, services, society, companionship, support, and consortium of her son, DD.
- 4. The damages referred to above are in excess of the jurisdictional requirements of Rule 6.103, Iowa Rules of Appellate Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Cateria Dixon, individually, demands judgment against the Defendants for a fair and reasonable amount of compensatory damages sufficient to fairly compensate her, in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional requirements of Rule 6.103, Iowa Rules of Appellate Procedure, for interest as provided by law and the costs of this action.

COUNT III (Claims of Derrick Dixon, Individually)

COMES NOW Plaintiff Derrick Dixon, Individually, and for cause of action against Defendants Bassel Eid, M.D.; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation d/b/a Cedar Rapids Family Medical Residency; Eastern Iowa Health Center; St. Luke's Methodist Hospital; and St. Luke's Methodist Hospital d/b/a UnityPoint Health Cedar Rapids and/or St. Luke's Methodist Hospital, states as follows:

- Plaintiff Derrick Dixon hereby repleads paragraphs 1, 2, and 4
 through 18 of Count I as if fully set forth herein verbatim.
- 2. As a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct as aforesaid, which wrongful conduct was a proximate cause of the aforementioned injuries

and damages to DD, Plaintiff Derrick Dixon has in the past and will in the future incur medical and other expenses in the care of his son.

- 3. Additionally, as a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct as aforesaid, which wrongful conduct is a proximate cause of the aforementioned injuries and damages to DD, Plaintiff Derrick Dixon has in the past and will in the future be deprived of the love, services, society, companionship, support, and consortium of his son, DD.
- 4. The damages referred to above are in excess of the jurisdictional requirements of Rule 6.103, Iowa Rules of Appellate Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Derrick Dixon, individually, demands judgment against the Defendants for a fair and reasonable amount of compensatory damages sufficient to fairly compensate him, in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional requirements of Rule 6.103, Iowa Rules of Appellate Procedure, for interest as provided by law and the costs of this action.

JURY DEMAND

COME NOW the Plaintiffs and hereby demand a trial by jury in the above captioned matter.

TOM RILEY LAW FIRM, P.L.C.

By:

HUGH G. ALBRECHT

AT0000426

4040 First Avenue NE

P.O. Box 998

Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-0998

Ph.: (319) 363-4040 Fax: (319) 363-9789 E-mail: hugha@trlf.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

CASE # LACVO87 405

DOCUMENTS SERVED

DAIGNAL LAGTICE

PETITION AT LAW

AFFIDAVIT OF RETURN OF SERVICE AND JUNY DEMAND

THE STATE OF IOWA	
, SS	RETURN OF SERVICE OF ORIGINAL NOTICE
County	
The within notice received this 24th day	MHQ_, 2017, and I certify that I served the same on the
	eof to each of said defendants personally at the time and place set
opposite their respective names:	
NAME OF DEFENDANT TIME MO	DAY YR. CITY, TOWN COUNTY ST. 425) EDGRWOOD RD NIZ 28+H 26/7 CHOMPRIMINS LIVIN IN
NAME OF DEFENDANT TIME MO.	U15) BOGRWOOD RONE
BASCEL EID MA 1150AM MAR	28+H 2617 CROMPRADIOS LIVIN IA
7,33,50	
FEES:	
Service \$ 25	BY: Kist boh
Copies \$	Professional Independent Process Server
	Professional independent Process Server
Mileage \$ 26	
Total \$_45	
THE STATE OF IOWA	
THE STATE OF IOWA	
SS	RETURN OF SERVICE OF ORIGINAL NOTICE
County	2017 - 41 - 45 41-4 - 41-
	, 2017, and I certify that on the day of
A At his dwelling house or usual place of shade in	antin city, town or township ofin
County State of Iow	a, by there delivering a copy thereof to,
a person residing therein who was then at least 18 y	
B. That such place was a rooming house, hotel, clu	ib or apartment building (1), and the person to whom the copy was
delivered was	
 C. That the above named defendant is 	
(designate if a p	artnership corporation or individual suitable under a common name)
by delivering a copy thereof to	
	County, State of Iowa.
	BY:
	Professional Independent Process Server
D. ACCEPTED SERVICE: Due and legal service	e of the within notice and receipt of a copy of the same is hereby
acknowledged on this day of	BY:, Iowa.
	BY:
 Strike out words that are not appropriate. 	SIM . IDIE M. COOK
(2) Insert "a member of defendant's family" or "th	
Clerk, proprietor or custodian of such place" the (3) Designate whether office, agent, general partners	my Commission Expires
appropriate under Rule 56.	10WA 04-14-14
1000	
REMARKS (SERVICE TIME) 11:50	The NOTARY SEAL
ASAP Case Number /7024	7 1/2 1/2 1
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME	
287/ DAY OF MAR. 2017.	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 24-27-19

CASE # LACU087405	-
DOCUMENTS SERVED	
ORIGINAL NOTICE	
AND THAY DEMAN	1

AFFIDAVIT OF RETURN OF SERVICE AND IMAY DEMAND

County	SS		RETURN	OF SERVICE O	F ORIGINAL	NOTICE
The within notice received this defendants named below by delivering opposite their respective names:	day of g a copy the	reof to eac	, <u>2017</u> , th of said o	and I certify that I s lefendants personal	served the same ly at the time an	on the d place set
NAME OF DEFENDANT TIME	E MO.	DAY	YR.	CITY, TOWN	COUNTY	ST.
					-	=
EES: Service \$ 25	7	= == 2-]	BY: Professional I		0 =
Copies \$ 20 Copies State Mileage \$ 25 Copies Cotal \$ 45 Copies Mileage Milea				Professional In	ndependent Pr	ocess Server
THE STATE OF IOWA	SS	1	RETURN	OF SERVICE OF	ORIGINAL N	OTICE
The within notice received this 24th 2017, I served the same or a At his dwelling house or usual place.	day of _/ the defendence of abode i	MAN ant/EDA/	2017,	and I certify that on Ship of	the 28++ da	y of FounDAT
County, person residing therein who was then. That such place was a rooming hou	State of Iow at least 18	a, by there	e deliverin	g a copy thereof to	1 20	
elivered was That the above named defendant is	CEDAR.	RAPIO 1	MEDIC	(2). # L PLOW EATIO on or individual su	N FONNO	TTOIN,
y delivering a copy thereof to Jilly own or township of 1260 2 V	VIFERLA	6 LMF	6 REC	ACENT (3) of	said defendant	in the city,
D. ACCEPTED SERVICE: Due and cknowledged on this day	d legal service	ce of the w	vithin notic	Professional Indce and receipt of a c	opy of the same	is hereby
Strike out words that are not app Insert "a member of defendant's Clerk, proprietor or custodian of	family" or "the such place" the	nat may sta	te the facts.	المحط	z Commission	f. COOK Number 1960 Ission Expires
 Designate whether office, agent, appropriate under Rule 56. 				AWO	07-2	9-19

CASE # LACVO 87405

DOCUMENTS SERVED

OLIGINAL NOTICIE

PETITION AT LAW

AFFIDAVIT OF RETURN OF SERVICE 400 JURY (DEMAN)

THE STATE OF I	OWA				
	SS	RET	URN OF SERVICE OF	F ORIGINAL I	NOTICE
The within notice received this defendants named below by de opposite their respective names	livering a copy th	, 2 ereof to each of	017, and I certify that I said defendants personal	served the same ly at the time an	on the ad place set
NAME OF DEFENDANT	TIME MO.	DAY Y	R. CITY, TOWN	COUNTY	ST.
			-		
FEES: Service \$ 2.5	50		BY:		
Copies \$ Mileage \$ 2.0 Total \$ 45	2000		Professional I	ndependent Pr	ocess Server
THE STATE OF IC	SS		URN OF SERVICE OF		
The within notice received this MAN, 2017, I served the sA. At his dwelling house or use	2411 day of _same on the defendant place of abode	in city, town or	township of		APANLY IN
a person residing therein who w B. That such place was a room	as then at least 18 ing house, hotel, o	years old. lub or apartment	vering a copy thereof to building (1), and the pe (2).	erson to whom th	ne copy was
delivered was	dant is Crean (designate if a	partnership cor	poration or individual su	itable under a co	ommon name)
by delivering a copy thereof to town or township of 1260	ENNIFRY HOLM LND AVIS	E LEUMAN	Rapins, Ling BY! 100	f said defendant	in the city, state of Iowa.
D. ACCEPTED SERVICE: I acknowledged on this	Oue and legal serv	rice of the within	Professional Inc notice and receipt of a c BY:	copy of the same	e is hereby
(1) Strike out words that are (2) Insert "a member of defe Clerk, proprietor or custo Designate whether office appropriate under Rule 5 REMARKS (SERVICE TIME	ndant's family" or odian of such place", agent, general part 6.	that may state the ner, etc., as may b	facts.	Commission My Comm	M. COOK Number 196096 hission Expires 29-19
ASAP Case Number SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN	170250	2	Hi sh	Look	THIS
251 DAY OF MA		E THE SAID	COMMISSION EX	PIRES 14-2	29-19

CASE # AN NOB 1405

DOCUMENTS SERVED

Original Notice

Pettion At Low and
Juny Domand

AFFIDAVIT OF RETURN OF SERVICE

THE STATE OF IOWA	SS	DETTION	OF SERVICE OF	ODICINAL N	OTICE
County	33	KETOK	OF SERVICE OF	ORIGINALI	OTICE
The within notice received thisdefendants named below by delivering	day of	, 2017,	and I certify that I s	erved the same	on the
opposite their respective names:			•		
NAME OF DEFENDANT TIM	E MO. I	DAY YR.	CITY, TOWN	COUNTY	ST.
				***	- =
FEES:	7			*	
Service \$ 25 Copies \$ 100 Mileage \$ 20 Total \$ 110000		20	BY: Professional In	ndependent Pro	ocess Server
THE STATE OF IOWA					
Linn County The within notice received this 24	SS		OF SERVICE OF		
March , 2017, I served the same of	on the defendant	Eastern I	owa. Health	Jenkr un	y 01
County	State of Town		a u toji, dizanim		
hanous	10		We be a week and a second		
CONTROL WAS	Factoria	7			
C. That the above named defendant is	signate if a partr	nership corporat	ion or individual sui		ommon name)
by delivering a copy thereof to 100 town or township of 1030	LOCK	Poalst	erred Agent (3) of	said defendant County, S	in the city,
			Professional Ind	ependent Proces	s Server
D. ACCEPTED SERVICE: Due an	nd legal service o	f the within noti	ce and receipt of a c	opy of the same	is hereby
acknowledged on this da	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	В	Y:		., 10 11 11
(1) Strike out words that are not ap	propriate.		- AW	IRIS M. C	OOK
(2) Insert "a member of defendant's Clerk, proprietor or custodian o			2 4 6	ommission Num	Pet 1490Ag
 Designate whether office, agent appropriate under Rule 56. 	, general partner, e	tc., as may be	Awo	04-29	
REMARKS (SERVICE TIME)	100 m		NOTARY	SEAL	,
ASAP Case Number 17-025 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO B	EFORE ME T	HE SAID	tus on	Look	- THIS
280 DAY OF March,			OMMISSION EXI	PIRES 24-	29-19

CASE #LACVD87405

DOCUMENTS SERVED

Original Notice

Pettion At Lyw and

Jury Demand

AFFIDAVIT OF RETURN OF SERVICE

THE STATE OF	IOWA					
	SS		RETURN	OF SERVICE OF	F ORIGINAL I	NOTICE
	County					
The within notice received th	is day of		, <u>2017</u> ,	and I certify that I	served the same	on the
defendants named below by dopposite their respective name		ereof to ead	ch of said o	defendants personal	ly at the time an	d place set
NAME OF DEFENDANT	TIME MO.	DAY	YR.	CITY, TOWN	COUNTY	ST.
				(-		=
FEES:	w	===				-
Service \$ 25			1	BY:		
Mileage \$ 10	est.			Professional I	ndependent Pr	ocess Server
Total \$ 35						
THE STATE OF			-			
Linn c	SS County	11		OF SERVICE OF		
The within notice received thi	s 24 day of	March	_, 2017,	and I certify that on	the 27 da	y of
Larch, 2017, I served the			LUKES	Hospital	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0.110	gual place of abod				7, 1	
	ocano, ocuro or re)	S mark's marrow		
a person residents	was then at reco	1			· Called American Pol	
Compress was				(-):		
C. That the above named defe	endant is St. Lu	Kes Hos	spital			
by delivering a copy thereof to	(designate if	partnership	corporat	ion or individual su	itable under a co	ommon name)
by delivering a copy thereof to town or township of 1026	A ALLOWING IXI	NE	To diedy l	ablas, Wi	said detendant	in the city,
town of township of 7000	A XIVENILE,	ME.	BY:	PHOS DA	1 Mil	tate of lowa.
				700	20 Cm	
D. ACCEPTED SERVICE:	Due and legal con	vice of the t	vithin noti	Professional Ind	lependent Proces	ss Server
acknowledged on this	day of	vice of the v	wiuiiii iioii	at	opy of the same	. Iowa.
acknowledged on this			B	Y:		
 Strike out words that a Insert "a member of de 		"the manage	-	عيبد	e IRIS	M, COOK
Clerk, proprietor or cus				2	S Commission	Number 170070 hission Expires
(3) Designate whether offi	ce, agent, general par			A CONT	04-	29-19
appropriate under Rule	:30.					-
REMARKS (SERVICE TIM	(E) 4:20	DM		NOTARY	SEAL	,
ASAP Case Number 17-	0249			4. 2	/ /	
SUBSCRIBED AND SWOR	N TO BEFORE N	1E THE SA	The Commercial way	noll	cool	THIS
DAY OF	ch, 2017.		MYC	OMMISSION EX	PIRES 04-	27-17

CASE # LACVOST 405

DOCUMENTS SERVED

Original Notice

PENTION AT Law and
Jury Demand

AFFIDAVIT OF RETURN OF SERVICE

THE STATE OF IOWA							
	SS		RETURN	OF SERVICE OF	FORIGINAL I	OTICE	
County The within notice received this defendants named below by delivering opposite their respective names:	_ day of a copy ther	eof to eacl	, <u>2017</u> , h of said o	and I certify that I s lefendants personall	served the same by at the time an	on the d place set	
NAME OF DEFENDANT TIME	MO.	DAY	YR.	CITY, TOWN	COUNTY	ST.	
FEES: Service \$ 25 Copies \$ Mileage \$				BY:BY	ndependent Pr	ocess Server	
The STATE OF IOWA County The within notice received this 24th Arch., 2017, I served the same on	SS day of	larch ini Shiluk		OF SERVICE OF and Learning that on the United Points		y of parkapids an	nd/or
C. That the above named defendant is (desiby delivering a copy thereof to Michael town or township of 1026 A - Aver	gnate if a p	artnership	corporat Regisk	ion or individual sui radispent (3) of s, binn	table under a co said defendant County, S	ommon name) in the city, tage of Iowa.	rifal
D. ACCEPTED SERVICE: Due and acknowledged on this day	legal service	e of the w		Professional Ind ce and receipt of a c at Y:	opy of the same	is hereby	
(1) Strike out words that are not appropriate under the Subscribed AND SWORN TO BE	amily" or "th uch place" the eneral partne	nat may stater, etc., as n	e the facts.	NOTARY	IRIS M. Commission Nu My Commiss SEAL	mber 196096 Ion Expires	

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR LINN COUNTY

DERRICK DIXON, Individually; and	
CATERIA DIXON, Individually and as	NO. LACV 087405
Parent and Next Friend of DD;	
Plaintiff,	
	DEFENDANT ST. LUKE'S
vs.	HOSPITAL'S ANSWER TO PETITION
	AND JURY DEMAND
BASSEL EID, M.D.; CEDAR RAPIDS	
MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION;	
CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION)	
FOUNDATION d/b/a CEDAR RAPIDS	
FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY;	
EASTERN IOWA HEALTH CENTER; ST.)	
LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL; and	
ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL	
d/b/a UNITYPOINT HEALTH CEDAR	
RAPIDS and/or ST. LUKE'S METHODIST	
HOSPITAL,	
Defendants.	

Defendant St. Luke's Methodist Hospital (hereinafter "St. Luke's") for Answer to Plaintiffs' Petition, states:

COUNT I

(Claims of DD)

- 1. Defendant has insufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 1 and they are therefore denied.
- 2. Defendant has insufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 2 and they are therefore denied.
- 3. Defendant has insufficient information to either admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 3 and they are therefore denied.

- 4. This Defendant makes no answer to paragraph 4 as it is not directed to it.
- 5. This Defendant makes no answer to paragraph 5 as it is not directed to it.
- 6. This Defendant makes no answer to paragraph 6 as it is not directed to it.
- 7. This Defendant makes no answer to paragraph 7 as it is not directed to it.
- 8. The allegations of paragraph 8 are admitted.
- 9. It is admitted that St. Luke's Methodist Hospital is its legal name and that in addition, it does business as UnityPoint Heath Cedar Rapids, and is affiliated with UnityPoint Health. All other allegations of Paragraph 9 not otherwise admitted are denied.
 - 10. This Defendant makes no answer to paragraph 10 as it is not directed to it.
 - 11. The allegations of paragraph 11 are denied.
- 12. It is admitted that Plaintiff Cateria Dixon received medical care and treatment at St. Luke's Hospital on April 10, 2015. All other allegations of paragraph 12 not specifically admitted are denied.
- 13. It is admitted that this Defendant provided nursing and ancillary care and treatment to Plaintiff Cateria Dixon from April 10, 2015 until her discharge on April 12, 2015. All other allegations of paragraph 13 not specifically admitted are denied.
- 14. It is admitted that this Defendant provided nursing and ancillary care and treatment to Plaintiff Cateria Dixon on April 10, 2015. All other allegations of paragraph 14 not specifically admitted are denied.
 - 15. The allegations of paragraph 15 are denied.
 - 16. The allegations of paragraph 16 and its subparts are denied.
 - 17. The allegations of paragraph 17 and its subparts are denied.

- 18. The allegations of paragraph 18 and its subparts are denied.
- 19. The allegations of paragraph 19 and its subparts are denied.
- 20. Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 20 and they are therefore denied.

WHEREFORE, this Defendant prays that Count I of Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed and for such other relief as the Court deems just.

COUNT II

(Claims of Cateria Dixon, Individually)

For Answer to Count II, this Defendant states:

- Defendant restates and incorporates its answers to paragraphs 1, 2 and 4 through
 18 of Count I as if set forth fully herein.
 - 2. The allegations of paragraph 2 are denied.
 - 3. The allegations of paragraph 3 are denied.
 - 4. It is admitted that the damages claimed exceed the jurisdictional requirements.

WHEREFORE, this Defendant prays that Count II of Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed and for such other relief as the Court deems just.

COUNT III

(Claims of Derrick Dixon, Individually)

For Answer to Count III, this Defendant states:

Defendant restates and incorporates its answers to paragraphs 1, 2, and 4 through
 18 of Count I as if set forth fully herein.

- 2. The allegations of paragraph 2 are denied.
- 3. The allegations of paragraph 3 are denied.
- 4. It is admitted that the damages claimed exceed the jurisdictional requirements.

WHEREFORE, this Defendant prays that Count II of Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed and for such other relief as the Court deems just.

DEFENSES

In addition to the denials set forth above, Defendant St. Luke's states:

- 1. Plaintiffs' Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, insofar as any and all nursing and hospital care provided to Plaintiffs were in accordance with the accepted standard of care.
 - 2. Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, is limited pursuant to Iowa Code §147.136.
- 3. Iowa Code Chapter 668 applies to this case and the fault (including negligence, failure to mitigate, etc.) of any other party, if any, should bar or comparatively reduce the claims against this Defendant.
- 4. The cause, sole cause or superseding cause of Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages, if any, was a preexisting medical condition and/or a subsequently occurring medical condition for which this Defendant is not responsible.
- 5. Any damages or injuries sustained by Plaintiffs may have been caused or contributed to by the acts or omissions of persons, entities or forces and/or facts and/or circumstances over which this answering Defendant exercises no authority or control.

WHEREFORE, Defendant requests that Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed at Plaintiffs' cost.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant hereby requests a trial by jury through the undersigned.

/s/ Tricia Hoffman-Simanek

CONNIE ALT AT0000497

TRICIA HOFFMAN-SIMANEK AT0003634

for

SHUTTLEWORTH & INGERSOLL, P.C.

500 US Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 2107

Cedar Rapids, IA 52406

PHONE: (319) 365-9461

FAX: (319) 365-8443 cma@shuttleworthlaw.com

phs@shuttleworthlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT ST. LUKE'S HOSPITAL

Copy to:

Hugh Albrecht Tom Riley Law Firm hugha@trlf.com

The undersigned he this document was	ATE OF SERVICE reby certifies that a copy of served upon counsel of party to the action in applicable IRCP on
[x] Electronically via [] U.S. Mail [] Fax [] Overnight Courie [] Hand Delivery [] E-mail	
By: Patti K. O'Kee	fe

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR LINN COUNTY

DERRICK DIXON, Individually and CATERIA DIXON, Individually and as Parent and Next Friend of DD, Plaintiffs,) LAW NO. LACV087405)))
vs.	
BASSEL EID, M.D., CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION; CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION d/b/a CEDAR RAPIDS FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY; EASTERN IOWA HEALTH CENTER; ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL and ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL d/b/a UNITYPOINT HEALTH CEDAR RAPIDS and/or ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL,	ANSWER AND JURY DEMAND OF CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION, CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION d/b/a CEDAR RAPIDS FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY RESIDENCY
Defendants.)

COMES NOW the Defendant, Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation d/b/a Cedar Rapids Family Medical Residency, and for its Answer and Jury Demand states as follows:

COUNT I - Claims of DD

- 1. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 1 for lack of information.
- 2. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 2 for lack of information.
- 3. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 3 for lack of information.

E-FILED 2017 APR 17 2:58 PM LINN - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

- 4. Defendant admits that Dr. Eid is a licensed medical doctor but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 4 for lack of information.
- 5. Defendant admits Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation is a foundation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Iowa and at all times material hereto operated in Cedar Rapids, Linn County, Iowa but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 5.
- 6. Defendant admits that Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation operated a residency program in Cedar Rapids, Iowa but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 6 for lack of information.
 - 7. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 7 for lack of information.
 - 8. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 8 for lack of information.
 - 9. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 9 for lack of information.
- 10. Defendant denies Dr. Eid is an employee or agent of Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation d/b/a Cedar Rapids Family Medical Residency and that he was acting within the scope of his employment or agency with this Defendant but otherwise denies the allegations of Paragraph 10 for lack of information.
 - 11. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 11 for lack of information.
 - 12. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 12 for lack of information.
 - 13. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 13 for lack of information.
 - 14. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 14 for lack of information.

E-FILED 2017 APR 17 2:58 PM LINN - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

- 15. In answer to Paragraph 15, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent the allegations are directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
- 16. In answer to Paragraph 16 including all subparagraphs, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent the allegations are directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
- 17. In answer to Paragraph 17 including all subparagraphs, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent the allegations are directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
- 18. In answer to Paragraph 18 including all subparagraphs, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent the allegations are directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
- 19. In answer to Paragraph 19 including all subparagraphs, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent the allegations are directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
- 20. In answer to Paragraph 20 including all subparagraphs, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent the allegations are directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed, the costs assessed to Plaintiffs, and for any further relief the Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT II - Claims of Cateria Dixon, individually

- 21. In answer to Paragraph 1 of Count II, this answering Defendant repleads its answers to Paragraph 1, 2 and 4 through 18 of Count I as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. In answer to Paragraph 2 of Count II, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent it contains allegations directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
- 23. In answer to Paragraph 3 of Count II, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent it contains allegations directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
 - 24. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 4 of Count II.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed, the costs assessed to Plaintiffs, and for any further relief the Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT III - Claims of Derrick Dixon, individually

- 25. In answer to Paragraph 1 of Count III, this answering Defendant repleads its answers to Paragraph 1, 2 and 4 through 18 of Count I as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. In answer to Paragraph 2 of Count III, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent it contains allegations directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
- 27. In answer to Paragraph 3 of Count III, to the extent it contains allegations directed at this answering Defendant, it is denied. To the extent it contains allegations directed at other Defendants, no answer is required.
 - 28. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 4 of Count III.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed, the costs assessed to Plaintiffs, and for any further relief the Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT IV - Affirmative Defenses

- 29. Plaintiffs' Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against this Defendant.
 - 30. This lawsuit is governed by Iowa Code Chapter 668.
 - 31. This matter is governed by Iowa Code §147.136.
- 32. If Plaintiffs were damaged as alleged in Plaintiffs' Petition, which Defendant specifically denies, Plaintiffs may have failed to mitigate damages and, therefore, any recovery by Plaintiffs should not include any loss which could have been prevented by reasonable care and diligence exercised after the alleged loss referred to in Plaintiffs' Petition.
- 33. If Plaintiffs were damaged as alleged in Plaintiffs' Petition, which Defendant specifically denies, Defendant alleges that a proximate or the sole proximate or superseding cause of Plaintiffs' injuries and damages, may have been a pre-existing medical condition and/or subsequently occurring medical condition for which Defendant is not responsible or had no control over.
- 34. This Defendant affirmatively states that Plaintiffs' claimed injury and damages were proximately caused in whole or part, by the conduct of other parties, persons, or entities over which this Defendant had no control.
- 35. Defendant reserves the right to raise additional defenses as may become available or appear through investigation or during the course of discovery.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed, the costs assessed to Plaintiffs, and for any further relief the Court deems just and equitable.

JURY DEMAND.

Defendant, Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation; Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation d/b/a Cedar Rapids Family Medical Residency, hereby demands a jury on all issues so triable.

Frederick T. Harris

(AT0003198)

FINLEY LAW FIRM, PC

699 Walnut Street 1700 Hub Tower

Des Moines, IA 50309

Telephone: (515) 288-0145 Facsimile: (515) 288-2724 Email: <u>rharris@finleylaw.com</u> ATTORNEYS FOR CRMEF

Original Efiled.

Copy to:

Hugh G. Albrecht Tom Finley Law Firm, P.L.C. 4040 First Avenue NE P. O. Box 998 Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-0998 hugha@trlf.com ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

Connie Alt
SHUTTLEWORTH & INGERSOLL, PLC
500 US Bank Building
P. O Box 2107
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406
cma@shuttleworthlaw.com
ATTORNEYS FOR ST. LUKE'S HOSPITAL

E-FILED 2017 APR 17 2:58 PM LINN - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

served upon all parties to the attorneys of record hergin at the	t the foregoing instrument wa he above cause to each of the cir respective addresses disclosed
on this pleading on	
U.S. Mail.	Facsimile
Hand-Delivered	Overnight Courier
Certified Mail	X E-File
Signature Borbora	& Bornord

IOWA DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR LINN COUNTY

PARENT AND NEXT FRIEND OF DD DERRICK DIXON CATERIA SYMEON DIXON

Plaintiff(s)

VS.

ST LUKES METHODIST HOSPITAL
CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION
FOUNDATION
EASTERN IOWA HEALTH CENTER
CEDAR RAPIDS FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY
BASSEL EID MD
UNITYPOINT HEALTH CEDAR RAPIDS

Defendant(s)

CASE NO: 06571 LACV087405

NOTICE OF CIVIL TRIAL-SETTING CONFERENCE

To the parties or their attorneys of record:

In accordance with Iowa Rule of Civil Procedure 1.906, notice is hereby given that a **Trial Scheduling Conference is scheduled on 05/23/2017 at 10:45 AM at the initiation of a conference call.**

This date shall be no earlier than 35 days after and not later than 50 days after any Defendant/ Respondent has answered or appeared unless set sooner by special order on application of one or more parties.

This conference shall be held:

By <u>TELEPHONE</u> with the conference call to be initiated by court administration.

Attorneys for all parties appearing in the case shall participate at this conference. A party will participate in person if the party does not have an attorney.

At this trial-setting conference, every case will be set for trial within the time periods provided by Iowa Court Rules Chapter 23, Time Standards for Case Processing.

Prior to the trial-setting conference, the parties must file a Trial Scheduling and Discovery Plan, Iowa Court Rule 23.5-Form 2 (Form 3 for Expedited Civil Actions).

E-FILED 2017 APR 19 2:09 PM LINN - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

In judicial districts that allow it, the parties may, in lieu of holding a trial-setting conference, first file their Trial Scheduling and Discovery Plan and then, prior to the date scheduled for the trial-setting conference, obtain a trial date from court administration that complies with the provisions of Chapter 23. The date will be entered by the Court on the Trial Scheduling and Discovery Plan.

The trial date that is agreed upon at this conference shall be a firm date. Continuances will not be granted, even if all parties agree, unless for a crucial cause that could not have been foreseen.

The Clerk of Court shall notify all counsel of record and parties not represented by counsel.

Dated 04/19/17

If you need assistance to participate in court due to a disability, call the disability coordinator at (319) 398-3920. Persons who are hearing or speech impaired may call Relay Iowa TTY (1-800-735-2942). **Disability coordinators cannot provide legal advice.**

E-FILED 2017 APR 19 2:09 PM LINN - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT



State of Iowa Courts

Case Number Case Title

LACV087405 DERRICK DIXON ETAL VS BASSEL EID MD ETAL ORDER FOR TRIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

So Ordered

Julie L. Green, Court Administration Designee, Sixth Judicial District of Iowa

Julie L. Green

Electronically signed on 2017-04-19 14:09:07

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR LINN COUNTY

PARENT AND NEXT FRIEND OF DD DERRICK DIXON CATERIA SYMEON DIXON

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

ST LUKES METHODIST HOSPITAL
CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION
FOUNDATION
EASTERN IOWA HEALTH CENTER
CEDAR RAPIDS FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY
BASSEL EID MD
UNITYPOINT HEALTH CEDAR RAPIDS

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. 06571 LACV087405

NOTICE OF RESETTING CIVIL TRIAL-SETTING CONFERENCE

Dated: 05/10/2017

To the parties or their attorneys of record:

Trial Scheduling Conference is scheduled on 06/20/2017 at 09:30 AM at the initiation of a conference call.

This conference shall be held:

by telephone with the conference call to be initiated by Plaintiff(s)' counsel by calling court administration at 319-398-3920, Ext. 1320(Connie)

Attorneys for all parties appearing in the case shall participate at this conference.

At this trial-setting conference, every case shall be set for trial within the time periods provided by Chapter 23, Time Standards for Case Processing.

At the trial-setting conference, each party shall be prepared to discuss all matters contained in the Trial Scheduling Order, Iowa Court Rule 23.5-Form 2.

E-FILED 2017 MAY 10 2:40 PM LINN - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT

The trial date that is agreed upon at this conference shall be a firm date. Continuances shall not be granted even if all parties agree unless for a crucial cause that could not have been foreseen. The Clerk of Court shall notify all counsel of record and parties not represented by counsel.

*This date shall be no later than 150 days after commencement of the action unless set sooner by special order on application of one or more parties.

If you need assistance to participate in court due to a disability, call the disability coordinator at (319) 398-3920. Persons who are hearing or speech impaired may call Relay Iowa TTY (1-800-735-2942). **Disability coordinators cannot provide legal advice.**

E-FILED 2017 MAY 10 2:40 PM LINN - CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT



State of Iowa Courts

Case Number Case Title

LACV087405 DERRICK DIXON ETAL VS BASSEL EID MD ETAL

Type: Other Order

So Ordered

Connie R. Trosky, Court Administration Designee,

Sixth Judicial District of Iowa

Electronically signed on 2017-05-10 14:40:26

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR LINN COUNTY

CATERIA DIXON, Individually; and CATERIA DIXON, Individually and as Parent and Next Friend of DD,))
Plaintiffs,) CASE NO. LACV087405
VS.	MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO HOLD DISCOVERY CONFERENCE AND
BASSEL EID, M.D.; CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION; CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION d/b/a CEDAR RAPIDS	COMPLETE DISCOVERY PLAN AND RE-SCHEDULE TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE
FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY; EASTERN IOWA HEALTH CENTER; ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL; and)) (UNRESISTED))
ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL d/b/a UNITYPOINT HEALTH CEDAR RAPIDS and/or ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL,	
Defendants.))

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, by and through the undersigned attorney, and in support of this Motion, states as follows:

- Plaintiffs' petition was filed on March 23, 2017, and Defendants St.
 Luke's and Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation filed their answers on April 17, 2017.
- 2. Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 1.507 (1) a Discovery/Scheduling Conference must occur no later than 21 days after the Defendant's response to the petition making the deadline to hold the conference May 8, 2017.
- 3. The trial setting conference in this matter is currently scheduled for May 23, 2017 at 10:45 a.m., and the parties are required to file the discovery plan prior to the trial setting conference.

- 4. Counsel for the Plaintiffs is currently out on medical leave following colectomy surgery on April 21, 2017. The recuperation period following this surgery is approximately three to four weeks.
- 5. Counsel for the Plaintiffs has not yet been cleared by his physicians to return to work.
- 6. Further, to date, no appearances or answers have been filed by Dr. Bassel Eid, M.D. or Eastern Iowa Health Center.
- 7. Because of the above situations, as well as other personal and professional matters, additional time is needed for the parties to conduct the discovery conference and file the discovery plan and the trial setting conference needs to be continued to allow the parties to complete the discovery plan.
- 8. Plaintiffs' counsel respectfully requests that the Court extend the deadline to hold the discovery conference to June 15, 2017 and reschedule the trial setting conference for a date after June 15, 2017.
- 9. Plaintiffs' counsel's office has contacted counsel for St. Luke's and Cedar Rapids Medical Education Foundation and they have indicates that under the circumstances, they do not resist this Motion.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that for the reasons stated herein that deadline to hold the discovery conference be extended to June 15, 2017 and the trial setting conference be rescheduled by the Court for a date after June 15, 2017.

TOM RILEY LAW FIRM, P.L.C.

By: /s/ Hugh G. Albrecht

HUGH G. ALBRECHT

AT0000426

4040 First Avenue NE

P.O. Box 998

Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-0998

Ph.: (319) 363-4040 Fax: (319) 363-9789 E-mail: hugha@trlf.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Copy to:

Connie Alt Tricia Hoffman-Simanek 500 US Bank Bldg. P.O. Box 2107 Cedar Rapids, IA 52406

Frederick T. Harris 699 Walnut Street 1700 Hub Tower Des Moines, IA 50309

PROOF OF SERVICE		
The undersigned certifies that the foregoing instrument		
was served upon the above parties to the above cause		
through the electronic document management system		
on 5/10/17 . Any unregistered filer will be		
served with paper copy.		
Signature:		
/s/ Jennifer Paine		

IN THE IOWA DISTRICT COURT FOR LINN COUNTY

DERRICK DIXON, Individually; and CATERIA DIXON, Individually and as Parent and Next Friend of DD, Plaintiffs, vs.))) CASE NO. LACV087405) ORDER
BASSEL EID, M.D.; CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION; CEDAR RAPIDS MEDICAL EDUCATION FOUNDATION d/b/a CEDAR RAPIDS FAMILY MEDICAL RESIDENCY; EASTERN IOWA HEALTH CENTER; ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL; and ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL d/b/a UNITYPOINT HEALTH CEDAR RAPIDS and/or ST. LUKE'S METHODIST HOSPITAL,	
Defendants.))

The Court, having reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion for Extension of Time to Hold Discovery Conference and complete Discovery Plan and to reschedule the Trial Setting Conference (Unresisted), finds that the Motion should be granted for the reasons stated therein.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties shall have until June 15, 2017 to conduct the Discovery Conference and the Trial Setting Conference scheduled for May 23, 2017 shall be re-scheduled for a date after June 15, 2017.



State of Iowa Courts

Type: OTHER ORDER

Case Number Case Title

LACV087405 DERRICK DIXON ETAL VS BASSEL EID MD ETAL

So Ordered

Denver D. Dillard, District Court Judge, Sixth Judicial District of Iowa

Electronically signed on 2017-05-10 11:46:48 page 2 of 2